OCCUPATIONAL SORTING AND DEVELOPMENT

Jan Eeckhout¹ Boyan Jovanovic²

¹UPenn

²NYU

Minnesota Workshop in Macroeconomic Theory August 2, 2007

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

MOTIVATION

IMPACT OF OPENNESS ON GAINS FROM TRADE AND SORTING

- Openness has increased in the last 30 years
- Labor services (both workers and management) increasingly flow across borders
- Distant agents produce together due to improved transportation/information technology (trade of inputs, outsourcing of services, multinationals, VC management exports services, etc.)
- ► Our theory: increased trade of labor services ⇒ efficient reallocation: occupational sorting (manager vs. worker)
- Theoretical issue: separate standard gains from trade effect from the sorting effect

THEORETICAL EXERCISE

- Start with autarky
- Introduce global labor market
- Who gains most? The poor and the rich
- Who gains least? The middle class
- Implied sorting effect is qualitatively big relative to standard trade effect (in examples)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

THE MODEL

ECONOMY

- ▶ Population of agents indexed by x # efficiency units $x \tilde{F}(x)$
- Production

$$q = xQ(h)$$

- x: manager's skill
- h: # of efficiency units of labor hired
- w: wage per efficiency unit
- Q concave
- Characteristics of the Technology:
 - Complementarity in skill of worker and manager: marginal product of worker increases in manager skill
 - Production is asymmetric: contribution of identically skilled agent depends on occupation
 - Managers: imperfect substitutes; Workers' efficiency units: perf substitutes (no mass point in wages as in Lucas (78))
 - Span of control depends on efficiency, not on # bodies

THE MODEL Economy

Market Equilibrium:

1. The firm's decision problem

$$\pi(x, w) = \max_{h} \{xQ(h) - wh\}$$

$$\Rightarrow FOC : xQ'(h) = w$$

2. Occupational Choice. The set of Managers:

$$E(w) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid \pi(x, w) > wx\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

3. Market clearing

THE MODEL

AUTARKY: ALL AGENTS ARE IDENTICAL IN ECONOMY

- Let *n* be the fraction workers (1 n managers)
- An Equilibrium $\{w(x), n(x)\}$ solving the FOC:

$$xQ'\left(\frac{xn}{1-n}\right)=w.$$

Occupational choice/market clearing

$$\pi(x, w) = wx$$
$$xQ\left(\frac{xn}{1-n}\right) - w\frac{xn}{1-n} = wx$$

• An Example: $Q(h) = h^{\alpha}$

$$n(x) = \alpha$$
 $w(x) = (1 - \alpha)^{(1-\alpha)} \alpha^{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$

THE MODEL WORLDWIDE LABOR MARKET

- Let h = g(x, w) be the demand function from FOC
- Occupational choice:

$$E(w) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid \pi(x, w) > wx\}$$

Market Clearing

$$\int_{E(w)} g(x, w) dF(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ - E(w)} x dF(x)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

EXAMPLE

• Let
$$Q(h) = h^{\frac{1}{2}}, F(x) = x$$

- Autarky income $w(x)x = \frac{1}{2}x^{\frac{3}{2}}$
- Free trade incomes:

$$y(x) = \max\left(w^{F}x, \pi^{F}(x)\right) = \max\left(0.42x, 0.59x^{2}\right)$$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

RESULTS Example

Gains in earnings relative to autarky



Proposition 1. If (i) $F(\cdot)$ is atomless and continuous, and if (ii) Q'(h) decreases continuously from $+\infty$ when h = 0 to 0 when $h = \infty$, an equilibrium with Factor Mobility exists at *z*, satisfying

 $x_{\min} < z < x_{\max}$

and, moreover,

$$\pi\left(\mathbf{Z},\mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{A}}\left[\mathbf{Z}\right]\right)=\mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{A}}\left(\mathbf{Z}\right)\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{F}}\mathbf{Z}=\pi^{\mathsf{F}}\left(\mathbf{Z}\right).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Proof: Lemma 1 and 2.

GENERAL

Lemma 1. If (z^F, w^F) is a free-market equilibrium, then w^F is the autarky wage in a country for which $x = z^F$.

- (z^F, w^F) is equilibrium $\Rightarrow \pi (z^F, w^F) = w^F z^F$
- ▶ In autarky in country $x = z^F$, occupational choice is met
- market-clearing condition and the FOC: there is n such that

$$z^F Q'\left(\frac{nz^F}{1-n}
ight) = w^F \iff Q'\left(\frac{nz^F}{1-n}
ight) = \frac{w^F}{z^F},$$

by (*ii*), there is a unique $n \in (0, 1)$

supply nz^F, equals demand:

$$nz^F = (1-n)\left(\frac{nz^F}{1-n}\right)$$

• Conditions autarky equilibrium are met at (z^F, w^F) QED.

Lemma 2. z^F satisfies $x_{\min} < z < x_{\max}$.

- Premise: no mass points in F
- Suppose z^F = x_{max}: then demand for h would be zero and there would be excess supply of workers
- Conversely, if z^F = x_{min} there would be an excess supply of workers. QED.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

GENERAL: DISTRIBUTION

Proposition 2. (First order stochastic dominance) The distribution of earnings under Factor Mobility (weakly) stochastically dominates the distribution under Autarky.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

DISENTANGLING OCCUPATIONAL SORTING

SORTING VERSUS STANDARD GAINS-FROM-TRADE

- Experiment: global labor market, no occupational switching
- ► Global labor market ⇒ single market-clearing wage w
- No occupational switching: n(x) type-x agents are "forced" to be workers, where n(x) is determined under autarky
- Market clearing wage solves:

$$\int_0^\infty g(x,w) \left[1-n(x)\right] dF(x) = \int_0^\infty x n(x) dF(x)$$

instead of

$$\int_{E(w)} g(x, w) dF(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ - E(w)} x dF(x)$$

► Occupation dependent earnings: income for identical types is not equalized (low x : wx > π(x); high x : wx < π(x))</p>

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

DISENTANGLING OCCUPATIONAL SORTING

THE COBB-DOUGLAS EXAMPLE

- Autarky: $n(x) = \alpha$
- Income

$$y(x) = n(x)\tilde{w}x + (1 - n(x))\tilde{\pi}(x)$$

instead of
$$y(x) = \max\{wx, \pi(x)\}$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

PREDICTIONS OF THE MODEL

- Gains from openness: in the tails
- Middle: small or no gains
- U-shaped pattern of growth
- Mechanism:
 - one world labor market \Rightarrow one wage
 - wages increase for low skill types
 - ► wages decrease for high skill types ⇒ most productive managers gain most, given complementarity

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

- factor prices for middle types: similar under autarky
- Occupational sorting is important

PREDICTIONS OF THE MODEL AND OPENNESS OPENNESS



▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ● ④ < @

PREDICTIONS OF THE MODEL AND OPENNESS GROWTH 1970-2000



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

PREDICTIONS OF THE MODEL AND OPENNESS GROWTH 1910-1929



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ □豆 の々で

PREDICTIONS OF THE MODEL AND OPENNESS GROWTH 1910-1929



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ □豆 の々で

PARTIALLY-FREE TRADE: NO PARETO DOMINANCE

Proposition 3. (Free vs. Partially-free trade). Suppose F is atomless on the interval $[x_{\min}, x_{\max}]$. Then there is a partially-free trade allocation that is not weakly Pareto dominated by free trade.



GENERAL: PLANNER'S SOLUTION

 Planner: choose allocation to maximize output Y s.t. market clearing

$$\max_{z,h} \int_{z}^{\infty} xQ(h(x))dF(x) + \lambda \left[\int_{\infty}^{z} xdF(x) - \int_{z}^{\infty} h(x)dF(x) \right]$$

► FOC:

$$h : xQ'(h) = \lambda$$

z : zQ(h) - $\lambda h = \lambda z$

Proposition 4. The decentralized equilibrium outcome implements the planner's solution.

GENERAL: INTEGRATION MAXIMIZES OUTPUT

- Consider two economies F₁(x), F₂(x) with world pop. shares α₁, α₂; integrated economy F(x) = α₁F₁ + α₂F₂
- ▶ Proposition 5. $w_1 \neq w_2 \Leftrightarrow Y(F) > \alpha_1 Y(F_1) + \alpha_2 Y(F_2)$
- From FOC: $g(w_1, x) \neq g(w_2, x)$
- By concavity of Q: convex combination of g₁, g₂ increases world output
- Counterpart: $Y(F) = \alpha_1 Y(F_1) + \alpha_2 Y(F_2)$ even if $F_1 \neq F_2$, provided $w_1 = w_2$
- ► ...
- F maximizes output, but does not Pareto dominate F₁, F₂

RELATED LITERATURE

MODEL BUILDS ON LUCAS (1978): "ON THE SIZE DISTRIBUTION OF BUSINESS FIRMS"



RELATED LITERATURE

MCGRATTAN-PRESCOTT (2007)

- Our theory: hire labor across borders
- MP: worldwide application of ideas (technology capital)
- Production function (let N be number of locations):

$$\underbrace{Y = xQ\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} h_i\right)}_{(1) \text{ ours}} \qquad \underbrace{Y = x\sum_{i=1}^{N} Q(h_i)}_{(2) \text{ MP}}$$

- MP: Limits to firm size are at plant level, not at firm level
 - Our production function: Autarky, all N plants in the country of manager; Free Trade: plants can be anywhere
 - ► MP: firm can operate unlimited # plants ⇒ large estimates of the gains to openness (no span of control limits globally)
 - Data fits better (1): constant returns at plant level, diminishing returns at firm level (AC curve: flat, wide bottom): Olley and Pakes (1996), Syverson (2004)...
 - Firm's location (MP): x' should be in all locations x < x' is in

RELATED LITERATURE

GABAIX-LANDIER (2008)

- Managerial earnings as competitive matching market of firms/capital and managers
- Rise in managerial earnings due to increase in efficiency and value of (largest) firms
- Our theory: explanation (globalization) for why the distribution of value has changed
- Ours: manager collects all profits, but similar implications if manager collects given fraction leaving the rest to shareholders

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

WITHIN COUNTRY HETEROGENEITY

1. MULTIDIMENSIONAL SKILLS

- Agent type: {x, y}, x manager skill, y worker skill
- Independently distributed F(x, y), conditionals F(x), G(y) (before x = y)
- FOC is as before xQ'(h) = w
- ► Set of managers $E(w) = \{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ \mid \pi(x, w) > wy\}$
- Market-clearing condition

$$\int_{E(w)} g(y, w) \, dF(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+ - E(w)} x dF(x, y)$$

- Type \overline{y} is indifferent: $\pi(x, w) = w\overline{y}$
- Autarky: \overline{y} solves (independent of x, separability xQ(h))

$$\int_0^{\overline{y}} g(\overline{y}, w) dG(y) = \int_{\overline{y}}^\infty y dG(y)$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

WITHIN COUNTRY HETEROGENEITY

- 1. MULTIDIMENSIONAL SKILLS
 - Free trade, market clearing solves

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^{\overline{y}(x)} g(\overline{y}, w) dG(y) dF(x) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\overline{y}(x)}^\infty y dG(y) dF(x)$$

Proposition. Under free trade, y
 (x) strictly increasing in x.
 Cobb-Douglas example (α = 1/2)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

WITHIN COUNTRY HETEROGENEITY

2. INCREASING "REACH" OF LABOR: AN LOGNORMAL EXAMPLE

- Let a country have skill distribution F(x): allows for closed form solution using moment-generating function
- (Marginal) effect of increased openness: access to skill distribution F'(x), mean-preserving spread of F(x)
- ► Examples: *w* increases, π(x) decreases ⇒ no First-order stochastic dominance



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

A MARKET FOR MANAGEMENT

1. AUTARKY

- Proposition. Zero-profit firms replicate market equilibrium
- ► Hire N agents: fraction n workers, 1 n managers; Let p be the wage per worker. The firm problem

$$\max_{n,N}\left\{\left[\left(1-n\right)xQ\left(\frac{nx}{1-n}\right)-p\right]N\right\}$$

- Zero profits $\Rightarrow Q = \frac{p}{(1-n)x}$ (*N* drops out)
- ▶ Remains: show occupational choice $xQ w \frac{nx}{1-n} = wx$
- From the firm's problem:

$$\max_{n}\left\{\left[\left(1-n\right)Q\left(\frac{nx}{1-n}\right)-w\right]\right\}.$$

- The FOC: $0 = Q \frac{x}{1-n}Q'(\frac{1-n}{n}x)$
- Subst. Q, mult. by n: xQ'(h) = w occupational choice

A MARKET FOR MANAGEMENT

2. TRADE OF FACTORS

- Skill-dependent price p(x); hire n(x) agents: n_m(x) managers, n(x) − n_m(x) workers
- The firm problem

$$V = \max_{n(.),n_m(.),h(.)} \left\{ \int xQ(h[x]) n_m(x) dx - \int p(x) n(x) dx \right\}$$

subject to:

$$\underbrace{\int h(x) n_m(x) dx}_{\text{\# efficiency units}} \leq \underbrace{\int x [n(x) - n_m(x)] dx}_{\text{\# non-managerial workers}}$$

and $0 \le n_m(x) \le n(x)$, and zero profits V = 0Solving this constrained program gives

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} w^F x & \text{for } x < z^F \\ \pi(x, w^F) & \text{for } x \ge z^F \end{cases}$$

CONCLUSION

- Theory of labor mobility as a result of openness
- Gains from openness are U-shaped
- Occupational sorting: in response to new equilibrium wages, occupational choice changes. More managers in high skill economy
- Can disentangle sorting from standard trade effect
- Openness and integration increase aggregate output

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

But: openness is not Pareto improving in general